



# SC ICC Orientation Objectives and Agenda

---

The members of the South Carolina Interagency Coordinating Council will:

- Gain knowledge of current federal statute and regulations regarding state interagency coordinating councils' required membership and activities.
- Identify potential roles, structure, and boundaries of a state ICC in its relationship with the Lead Agency.
- Identify qualities of effective ICCs.



# South Carolina SICCC Orientation – Part 2

---

October 28, 2009

Sharon Ringwalt, Ph.D.

Mid-South Regional Resource Center  
(MSRRC)

and the National Early Childhood  
Technical Assistance Center (NECTAC)



# National Focus: OSEP/SPP/APR

---

- Service delivery models
- Child outcomes
- Eligibility
- Transition from Early Intervention (Part C) to Preschool Services (Part B, Section 619) and/or other community programs
- General supervision system (correction of non-compliance)



## Compliance Indicators – Part C

---

- C1 – Timely Service Delivery
- C7 – Timeliness of IFSP
- C8 – Early Childhood Transition (A, B, C)
- C9 – Part C Monitoring System
- C10 – Administrative Complaints
- C11 – Due Process Hearings
- C14 – Data Accuracy



# Results (Performance) Indicators

---

- C2 – Settings
- C3 – Child Outcomes (A, B, C)
- C4 – Family Outcomes
- C5 – Child Find, Ages Birth to 1
- C6 – Child Find, Ages Birth to 3
- C12 – Resolution Agreements
- C 13 – Mediations



## What does “compliance” mean?

---

- State’s target MUST be 100%
- Affects State’s “Determination”
  - Meets requirements
  - Needs assistance
  - Needs intervention
  - Needs substantial intervention
- State must report all non-compliance



## What does “results” mean?

---

- State sets its own targets, based upon stakeholder input
- Has not affected State’s “Determination”
- State must report all non-compliance



## Indicators 5 and 6: Child Find

---

- **Child Find, Ages Birth to 1. % of infants/ toddlers birth-1 w/IFSPs compared to:**
  - other states w/similar eligibility definitions;
  - and national data.
- **Child Find, Ages Birth to 3. % of infants/ toddlers birth-3 w/IFSPs compared to:**
  - other states w/similar eligibility definitions;
  - and national data.



## Indicator 7: Timeliness of IFSP

---

- Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.



## Indicator 1: Timely Services

---

- Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.



## Indicator 2: Settings

---

- Percent of infants/toddlers receiving Early Intervention in the home or programs for typically developing children.



# Eligibility

---

Categories\*  
(7/15/08):

- Broad – 25
- Moderate – 15
- Narrow – 16
- \* Does not include the BIE

States serving  
children at-risk:

- CA
- MA
- NH
- NM
- WV
- GU



# National Trends in Child Find, Eligibility and IFSP development

---

- Increasing targeted outreach to referral sources
- Interagency collaboration on referrals
- Changing eligibility definitions
- Determining ways to increase efficiency in IFSP process – referral to IFSP development/implementation



# National Changes/Trends in Service Delivery

---

- Focus on family-centered practices & principles
- Emphasis placed by legislation and “recommended practices” on delivery of service in **natural environments**
- Move from primary direct service delivery model to a range of service delivery options



## Indicator 3: Child Outcomes

---

- % of infants/toddlers who demonstrate improved:
  - A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);
  - B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and
  - C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.



# National Trends in Child Outcomes

---

- Nationally, States have reported on progress data and improvement activities for this Indicator.
- States must provide baseline data, targets, and improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.



## National Trends: Approaches to Measuring Child Outcomes

---

| <b>Approach</b>                   | <b>Part C<br/>(56 states/jur)</b> | <b>Preschool<br/>(59 states/jur)</b> |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| One tool statewide                | 9/56 (16%)                        | 15/59 (25%)                          |
| Multiple Publishers' online tools | 2/56 (4%)                         | 3/59 (5%)                            |
| COSF 7 pt. scale                  | 40/56 (71%)                       | 35/59 (59%)                          |
| Other or Undecided                | 5/56 (9%)                         | 6/59 (10%)                           |

Preliminary ECO data, including states that are "switching" approaches



## Indicator 8: Transition

---

- Indicator 8: Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:
  - (A) IFSPs with transition steps and services;
  - (B) Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and
  - (C) Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.



# National Trends in Transition

---

- OSEP Priority Area for 2007-2008
  - Transition Initiative
  - Transition Priority Team
- Most states making or maintaining progress on all three sub-indicators.
- Focus on late referrals to Part C



# Determinations

---

- OSEP makes states' determinations
  - Announced in early June
- States must make determinations of local programs, considering:
  - Performance on compliance indicators;
  - Whether data submitted by LEAs/EIS programs is valid, reliable, and timely;
  - Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources; and
  - Any audit findings.



# Accountability

---


- Providers whose agencies receive Part C funds are responsible for implementing all IDEA requirements.
  - Compliance Indicators
  - Results Indicators
  - Related Requirements



# OSEP, South Carolina, and you

---

- State Performance Plan
- Annual Performance Report
  - Compliance Indicators
  - Results (Performance) Indicators
- Stakeholder group
  - helping set targets for Indicator 3 (child outcomes)



# As ICC members, what is *your* role in ...

---

- Accountability?
- Best Practice?
- Change?



What it  
takes...

**Teamwork & Communication**

"I need feeding.  
I need changing.  
Let's work together,  
people!"  
© 2008 OSEP

Based on Pletcher, Youngren, Romanik  
& Johnson, OSEP 2008 EC Conference